Regional Localization of Suspensor mRNAs during Early Embryo Development
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We investigated gene activity within the giant embryos of the scarlet runner bean (Phaseolus coccineus) to gain understanding of the processes by which the apical and basal cells become specified to follow different developmental pathways after division of the zygote. We identified two mRNAs, designated G564 and C541, that accumulate specifically within the suspensor of globular-stage embryos. G564 mRNA accumulates uniformly throughout the suspensor, whereas C541 mRNA accumulates to a higher level within the large basal cells of the suspensor that anchor the embryo to the surrounding seed tissue. Both G564 and C541 mRNAs begin to accumulate shortly after fertilization and are present within the two basal cells of embryos at the four-cell stage. In contrast, at the same stage, these mRNAs are not detectable within the two descendants of the apical cell. Nor are they detectable within cells of the embryo sac before fertilization, including the egg cell. We used a G564/β-glucuronidase reporter gene to show that the G564 promoter is activated specifically within the basal region and suspensor of preglobular tobacco embryos. Analysis of the G564 promoter identified a sequence domain required for transcription within the suspensor that contains several copies of a conserved motif. These results show that derivatives of the apical and basal cells transcribe different genes as early as the four-cell stage of embryo development and suggest that the apical and basal cells are specified at the molecular level after division of the zygote.

INTRODUCTION

In most higher plants, the first division of the zygote is asymmetric, giving rise to two daughter cells differing in size and developmental fate (Johri, 1984; Raghavan, 1986; West and Harada, 1993; Goldberg et al., 1994; Kaplan and Cooke, 1997; Laux and Jurgens, 1997). The small terminal, or apical cell, is cytoplasmically dense and differentiates into the embryo proper containing one or two cotyledons and an axis with shoot and root meristems. By contrast, the large, highly vacuolate basal cell differentiates into the hypophysis and suspensor. The hypophysis contributes to the formation of the root meristem within the embryo proper (van Den Berg et al., 1998). The suspensor, on the other hand, is a terminally differentiated embryonic region that anchors the embryo proper to the surrounding maternal tissue, serves as a conduit for nutrients and growth regulators supporting embryo proper development, and degenerates by the end of embryogenesis (Yeung and Clutter, 1979; Natesh and Rau, 1984; Walthall and Brady, 1986; Yeung and Meinke, 1993; Schwartz et al., 1997). What causes the apical and basal cells to become specified and follow different developmental pathways is not known.

The suspensor provides an excellent opportunity to use molecular biological approaches to understand how the zygote gives rise to daughter cells with distinct developmental fates. It is highly differentiated and contains cells that are direct clonal descendants of the basal cell and, ultimately, the basal region of the egg (Yeung and Meinke, 1993; Goldberg et al., 1994; Schwartz et al., 1997). Fully developed Arabidopsis and tobacco suspensors, for example, are only three to four cell divisions removed from the basal cell (Soueges, 1920; Mansfield and Briarty, 1991). It is possible, therefore, that the mechanisms regulating suspensor-specific gene expression are linked directly to the processes that specify the developmental fate of the basal cell. Understanding how suspensor gene expression is regulated should provide insight into the molecular mechanisms that specify the fate of the basal cell.
We have used the giant embryos of the scarlet runner bean to investigate the processes that regulate suspensor differentiation. Because of their large size, scarlet runner bean embryos have been used classically to study the molecular and physiological processes that occur during the early postfertilization stages of embryo development (Walbot et al., 1972a, 1972b; Sussex et al., 1973; Clutter et al., 1974; Nagl, 1974; Yeung, 1980). Scarlet runner bean suspensors are ~100-fold larger than are the suspensors of either Arabidopsis or tobacco, and they contain polytene chromosomes analogous to those in *Drosophila* salivary glands (Nagl, 1974; Yeung and Meinke, 1993). These polytene chromosomes exhibit specific puffs in response to different physiological conditions and mark regions of gene activity (Nagl, 1974). Scarlet runner bean suspensors can be separated from embryo proper regions by microdissection with relative ease at the preglobular and globular stages (Walbot et al., 1972a; Sussex et al., 1973; Clutter et al., 1974; Nagl, 1974; Yeung, 1980) and represent a powerful system to use genomics to uncover genes that are active at the earliest stages of embryogenesis.

In this article, we present experiments that identified two scarlet runner bean mRNAs, designated C541 and G564, that accumulate specifically within the suspensor of globular-stage embryos. These mRNAs are not detectable before fertilization. At the preglobular, or four-cell, stage, both C541 and G564 mRNAs are present in the two basal cells, but they are absent from the two descendants of the apical cell. Expression analysis of a chimeric *G564/β-glucuronidase* (*GUS*) marker gene in transgenic tobacco embryos showed that the *G564* promoter is activated specifically within the basal region and suspensor during preglobular stages of embryo development, reflecting the *G564* mRNA accumulation pattern in scarlet runner bean embryos. Deletion analysis of the *G564* promoter indicated that sequences between −921 and −662 that contain multiple copies of a 10-bp motif are required for transcription within the suspensor. These results show that derivatives of the apical and basal cells transcribe different genes as early as the four-cell stage of embryogenesis and suggest that the apical and basal cells are specified at the molecular level after asymmetric division of the zygote.

RESULTS

Giant Scarlet Runner Bean Suspensor Forms Early in Embryo Development

We characterized the early stages of scarlet runner bean embryo development to link these stages to morphological markers of the developing seed and to provide a time framework for investigating suspensor-specific gene expression in the cultivar that we used (Hammond’s Dwarf Red Flower; see Methods). Table 1 and Figures 1A to 1D and Figures 1I to 1K summarize the morphological characteristics of the unfertilized ovule (ol) and developing seed from 0 days after pollination (DAP) until maturity at 35 DAP. From the mature unfertilized ovule until 7 DAP, the seed length increased from 0.75 to 2 to 4 mm and the seed gradually adopted a green color (Figures 1A to 1D and Table 1). At 11 DAP, the seed began to acquire red pigmentation in the area contiguous to the hilum region (hl) (Figure 1J, Table 1) and the red color gradually spread and covered the entire seed by 20 to 25 DAP (Figure 1K, Table 1). At 25 DAP, the seed length had increased to 15 mm (Table 1). At 35 DAP, the mature dry seed had a purple seed coat with magenta streaks near the hilum and was 20 mm in length (Table 1).

We characterized the embryonic stages corresponding to seeds at different DAP from micrographs of longitudinal sections of the micropylar region (mr) containing the embryo. In the unfertilized ovule, the egg cell (ec) was identified from the orientation of its nucleus and cytoplasm-dense region toward the chalaza and its vacuolated region toward the micropyle (Figure 1E). The egg cell and the upper nuclear region of the suspensor (s) was inverted in the adjacent synergids (sy) (Figure 1E). The egg cell and blastoderm region of the suspensor were demarcated from the central region filled with vacuoles and small organelles (Figure 1E).

### Table 1. Description of Scarlet Runner Bean Seed Developmental Stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>DAP</th>
<th>Suspensor Length (μm)</th>
<th>Seed Length (mm)</th>
<th>Seed Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ovule</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;0.75</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proembryo</td>
<td>1 to 4</td>
<td>&lt;50 to 250</td>
<td>0.75 to 1.5</td>
<td>Pale green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globular</td>
<td>5 to 9</td>
<td>320 to 600</td>
<td>2 to 4</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>10 to 12</td>
<td>700 to 900</td>
<td>4.5 to 6</td>
<td>Green with red pigment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>contiguous to the hilum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early cotyledon</td>
<td>13 to 17</td>
<td>~1000</td>
<td>7 to 9</td>
<td>Green with heavy red pigment in the area surrounding the hilum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late cotyledon</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>ND^a</td>
<td>~15</td>
<td>Scarlet red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>~30 to 35</td>
<td>ND^a</td>
<td>~20</td>
<td>Purple</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aND, not determined.
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synergids were bordered by the central cell (cc) at their chalazal ends (Figure 1E). At 2 DAP, the embryonic cells were organized irregularly, the apical (a) and basal (b) regions were morphologically indistinguishable, and endosperm (es) had started to form (Figure 1F). Just before the globular stage (4 DAP), the suspensor (s) of the filamentous embryo was distinguished from the embryo proper (ep) by its large, irregularly shaped cells (Figures 1G and 1H) and was ~200 to 250 μm in length (Figures 1G and 1H, Table 1). By contrast, cells of the embryo proper were smaller and more uniform in size and shape (Figures 1G and 1H).

Figures 1L to 1N show plastic sections of 7- to 17-DAP embryos. Whole-mount images of hand-dissected embryos (see Methods) at the same stages are shown in Figures 1O to 1Q. The suspensor developed two distinct regions: a file of neck cells (sn) that connected suspensor to embryo proper and a set of large basal cells (sb) that protruded into the seed tissue (Figures 1L to 1N). In the suspensor basal region, the number of cells remained constant and the increase in length of this region was attributable mainly to cell enlargement (Figures 1L to 1N). The total suspensor length increased from 500 to 1000 μm, which was its maximum size (Figures 1L to 1Q, Table 1). The embryo proper increased in cell size and number and developed from globular stage (Figures 1L and 1O) to heart stage (Figures 1M and 1P) to cotyledon stage (Figures 1N and 1Q). At the cotyledon stage, the embryo proper was larger than the suspensor and contained chlorophyll, whereas the suspensor remained white (Figures 1N and 1Q). Together, these data show that the scarlet runner bean embryo differentiates a giant suspensor early in seed development (2 to 11 DAP) and that the time from pollination to seed maturity for Hammond’s Dwarf Red Flower (Nagl, 1990; Voss et al., 1992) grown under our greenhouse conditions (see Methods) is similar to that of the original scarlet runner bean cultivar characterized by Walbot and colleagues nearly 30 years ago (Walbot et al., 1972b).

**Differential Display of Microdissected Suspensor RNA Yields Suspensor-Specific cDNA Clones**

We hand dissected suspensors from 6- to 7-DAP globular-stage embryos (Figures 1L and 1O) and used differential
display–reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (DD-RT-PCR) to identify suspensor-specific mRNAs (see Methods). We selected cDNAs that were present in displays generated from (1) 6-DAP suspensor RNA (Figure 1O) and (2) 6-DAP seed micropylar region RNA (Figure 1I) but that were absent in displays obtained from (1) 6-DAP seed chalazal region RNA (Figure 1I), (2) 6-DAP embryo proper RNA (Figure 1O), and (3) leaf RNA as candidates for suspensor-specific sequences (data not shown; see Methods). Using these criteria, we obtained 25 potential suspensor-specific cDNAs that were >0.2 kb in length, which were cloned and sequenced (see Methods).

Population cDNA Gel Blot Analysis

Because the amount of RNA we could obtain from microdissected suspensor and embryo proper regions was too small to verify the specificity of the cDNA clones by RNA gel blot analysis, we used DNA gel blots containing PCR-amplified population cDNAs (Kelly et al., 1990) to prescreen candidate cDNA clones (see Methods). We identified three DD-RT-PCR cDNAs that hybridized with suspensor and seed micropylar region cDNAs but did not hybridize with seed chalazal region, ovule, or leaf cDNAs (data not shown; see Methods). These three clones were designated C541, G564, and G563 and represented putative suspensor-specific cDNAs. The other 23 cDNAs identified by DD-RT-PCR were not suspensor specific and represented false positives. Database searches with the putative suspensor-specific cDNAs indicated that they were not related to any protein of known function (see Methods). However, PSORT predicted that the G564 and C541 proteins might be either secreted (G564) or targeted to the vacuole (C541) (see Methods).

RNA Gel Blot Analysis

We hybridized G564, C541, and G563 cDNA probes to gel blots containing 6-DAP suspensor RNA (S), unfertilized ovule RNA (Ol), 2-DAP seed RNA (2), 3-DAP seed RNA (3), 6-DAP seed micropylar region RNA (6m), 6-DAP seed chalazal region RNA (6c), and leaf RNA (Lf) to verify the results of total cDNA gel blot analysis. Figure 2 shows that the G564 and C541 probes did not hybridize detectably with unfertilized ovule, 2-DAP seed, 3-DAP seed, 6-DAP chalazal region, and leaf RNAs. By contrast, the G564 and C541 probes produced low signals with 6-DAP seed micropylar region RNA. These signals increased significantly with suspensor RNA isolated from 6-DAP micropylar region seed (Figure 2), suggesting that the lower G564 and C541 signals obtained with 6-DAP seed micropylar region RNA were caused by dilution of the suspensor RNA by nonembryonic seed tissue RNA (Figures 1I and 1L). The G564 suspensor hybridization signal was greater than that obtained with C541, indicating that G564 mRNA was present at a higher level than was C541 mRNA (Figure 2).

The G563 cDNA probe also did not hybridize detectably with unfertilized ovule, 2-DAP seed, 3-DAP seed, 6-DAP chalazal region, and leaf RNAs (Figure 2). In contrast to the G564 and C541 probes, however, the G563 probe produced equal hybridization signals with suspensor and 6-DAP micropylar RNAs, indicating that G563 hybridization intensity did not increase by enriching for suspensor RNA (Figure 2). In addition, the G563 hybridization signal with suspensor RNA was significantly lower than those obtained with the G564 and C541 cDNA probes (see Figure 2 legend). Together, these data show that G563, G564, and C541 mRNAs are prevalent within the micropylar region of 6-DAP seed and that G564 and C541 mRNAs are probably suspensor specific.

G564 and C541 mRNAs Are Localized within the Suspensor

We used in situ hybridization to visualize directly the seed and embryo regions that contained G564, C541, and G563 mRNAs. The results are shown in Figure 3.

Localization of G564 and C541 mRNAs

Figure 3A is a light microscopic image of a 7-DAP seed micropylar region longitudinal section showing the suspensor...
Ovules and seed were fixed, embedded in paraffin, sliced into 10-μm sections, and hybridized with 32P-labeled sense or antisense probes as described in Methods.

(A) Bright-field photograph of a 7-DAP seed.

(B) to (D) Hybridization of 7-DAP seed with G564 (B), C541 (C), and G563 (D) anti-mRNA probes. Photographs were taken using dark-field microscopy. Slide emulsions were exposed for 19 days (B), 15 days (C), and 7 days (D).

(E) Hybridization of C541 sense control probe with 7-DAP embryo. The photograph was taken using dark-field microscopy. The slide emulsion was exposed for 11 days.

(F) Bright-field photograph of an unfertilized ovule.

(G) to (J) Hybridization of unfertilized ovules with G564 (G), C541 (H), and G563 (I) anti-mRNA probes. Photographs were taken using dark-field microscopy. Slide emulsions were exposed for 6 months (G), 9 months (H), and 7 days (I), respectively.

(J) Hybridization of C541 sense background control probe with an unfertilized ovule. The photograph was taken using dark-field microscopy. The slide emulsion was exposed for 15 days.

ec, egg cell; en, endothelium; ep, embryo proper; es, endosperm; in, integument; s, suspensor; sb, suspensor basal region; sn, suspensor neck region; sy, synergid. Bars = 50 μm.

Localization of G563 mRNA

Figure 3D shows that the G563 anti-mRNA probe hybridized specifically with transcripts in the seed endothelial layer (en) surrounding the embryo but not with those in the suspensor or the embryo proper. G563 mRNA was first detected within the endothelium at 3 DAP (data not shown). In contrast, no G563 hybridization signal above background level (Figures 3E and 3J) was visualized in the chalazal endothelium (Figure 3D and data not shown), the embryo sac, or in any unfertilized ovule tissue (Figures 3F and 3I).
Together, these data show that the accumulation of C541, G564, and G563 mRNAs occurs after fertilization during seed development and that the G564 and C541 mRNAs are localized specifically within the suspensor region of globular-stage embryos.

**G564 and C541 mRNAs Accumulate Selectively within the Basal Region of the Four-Cell Proembryo**

We used in situ hybridization to investigate the accumulation pattern of G564 and C541 mRNAs during embryo development (Figure 1). As shown in Figures 4B (G564) and 4F (C541), the G564 and C541 anti-mRNA probes detected transcripts exclusively in the two basal cells (b) of the four-cell proembryo before the suspensor and embryo proper regions could be differentiated (2 DAP). No G564 or C541 hybridization signals above background (Figures 4E and 4J and data not shown) were detected in the two apical (a) cells of the same stage proembryo (Figures 4B and 4F). Figure 4G shows that the C541 mRNA remained localized asymmetrically within proembryo basal cells at 3 DAP, like the G564 mRNA (data not shown).

As shown in Figures 4C and 4D (G564) and Figures 4H and 4I (C541), G564 and C541 mRNAs were detectable exclusively in the suspensor (s) from the early globular stage (4 DAP).
DAP) to the globular stage (7 DAP). No G564 or C541 hybridization signals above background (Figures 4E and 4J) were detected within the embryo proper. G564 mRNA was distributed evenly over the entire suspensor (Figures 4C and 4D). By contrast, C541 mRNA sequences were more concentrated in the large cells of the suspensor basal region compared with those in the suspensor neck region (Figures 4H and 4I).

We hybridized the G564 anti-mRNA probe with 23-DAP embryo sections to determine whether G564 mRNA was present at later stages of embryogenesis. The inset in Figure 5 is a whole-mount image of a 23-DAP embryo showing one cotyledon (c) and the axis and plumule (boxed). Figure 5 shows that G564 mRNA was not detected in the cotyledon. In contrast, G564 transcripts were present in the axis but were concentrated primarily within the meristematic zone at the axis tip (Figure 5).

Together, these results show that G564 and C541 suspensor mRNAs are first detectable two divisions after fertilization and are localized asymmetrically within the basal cells of the proembryo before suspensor differentiation and that, later in development, G564 mRNA accumulates within the embryonic axis.

G564 mRNA Accumulation within the Proembryo Basal Region Is Transcriptionally Controlled

We isolated the G564 gene from a scarlet runner bean genomic library to determine whether the basal region–specific and suspensor-specific G564 mRNA accumulation patterns were regulated at the transcriptional or post-transcriptional level. Figure 6A shows a scheme of a 6.99-kb genomic fragment from the scarlet runner bean containing the G564 gene. We found that the G564 coding region was 659 bp long, consisted of two exons of 107 and 388 bp, and contained one 164-bp intron. The 5′ and 3′ regions included in the genomic fragment were 4242 and 2085 bp in length, respectively. In the 5′ region, we identified a putative gene at positions −4214 to −2588 with similarity to the Arabidopsis Pol3 gene (GenBank accession number AC005561).

Localization of G564 mRNA in Transgenic Tobacco Embryos Containing the G564 Gene

We introduced the 6.99-kb G564 genomic clone (Figure 6A) into tobacco plants and localized G564 mRNA during embryo development. Figure 6B shows that, like the scarlet runner bean embryo (Figure 4B), G564 mRNA was localized specifically in the basal region of the preglobular-stage embryo and was not detectable in the apical region. At this stage of tobacco embryo development, the basal region had developed into an immature suspensor (s) that was distinguishable from the embryo proper (ep) (Figure 6J and data not shown). Figure 6C shows that at the globular stage, G564 mRNA was highly concentrated in the suspensor and in the hypophyseal and basal regions (h) of the embryo proper. Figures 6D and 6E show that in heart- and torpedo-stage embryos, G564 transcripts were localized primarily in the basal tip of the axis (ax), like that observed in scarlet runner bean early maturation–stage embryos (Figure 5). In addition, Figures 6D and 6E show that G564 mRNA accumulated in the endosperm (es) that persists late in tobacco seed development. No hybridization signal above background level was detected in nontransformed tobacco embryos (data not shown). Together, these results indicate that all of the control elements necessary for the localization of G564 mRNA within the basal region and suspensor early in embryo development are contained within the 6.99-kb G564 genomic clone and that these elements are recognized by the relevant regulatory proteins in widely divergent plants.

G564/GUS Gene Expression in Transgenic Tobacco Embryos

We introduced a chimeric G564/GUS gene into tobacco and localized GUS mRNA and GUS enzyme activity in transgenic embryos to study G564 transcriptional regulation. The G564/GUS gene contained 4.2 kb of 5′ flanking sequence (Figure 6A; see Methods). Figure 6J shows that there was a high level of GUS enzyme activity in the two suspensor cells...
Figure 6. Analysis of Transgenic Tobacco Embryos Containing Either a G564 or a G564/GUS Gene.

(A) Scheme of the G564 gene. Blue blocks delineate the exons, including the amino acid coding sequence, ATG, exon-intron junctions, and stop codon. The yellow block represents an intron. Numbers indicate the last and/or first nucleotides of each exon. The last downstream nucleotide of the genomic clone also is indicated. Numbering is relative to the first upstream nucleotide identified in the G564 cDNA sequence. Pol3, a gene sharing homology with POL3 in Arabidopsis.
of the five-cell preglobular embryo. In contrast, no GUS activity was detected in the embryo proper (Figure 6B). Figure 6F shows that GUS mRNA was not detected above background level in the same-stage embryos, indicating that in the suspensor, GUS mRNA was below the detection level of the in situ hybridization procedure. At the globular stage, both GUS activity and GUS mRNA were detectable in the suspensor and in the hypophyseal and basal regions of the embryo proper, as shown in Figures 6G and 6K, respectively. Later in development, at the heart and torpedo stages, both GUS mRNA and enzyme activity were detectable in the axis, as shown in Figures 6H and 6L and Figures 6M and 6N, respectively. GUS transcripts also were detected in the endosperm at these stages of seed development (Figures 6H and 6L). Together, these data indicate that (1) the G564 promoter is activated specifically in the basal cells soon after fertilization, and (2) G564 mRNA accumulates asymmetrically within the basal cells and suspensor during early embryo development (Figures 3 to 5) primarily as a result of transcriptional control processes.

5’ Deletion Analysis of the G564 Promoter Identifies a Region Required for Suspensor-Specific GUS Expression

We generated 5’ nested deletions of the G564 promoter fused to the GUS reporter gene (see Methods) and introduced these deletions into tobacco plants to identify suspensor-specific GUS cis-regulatory regions. Figure 7A shows that progressively deleting the G564 promoter from −4242 to −921 did not affect the suspensor-specific pattern of GUS enzyme activity in early globular-stage embryos (eight to 32 cells). Nor was GUS enzyme activity affected in the embryo proper basal region and axis later in embryo development (Figures 6G to 6M and data not shown). Deleting the region between −921 and −662, however, abolished suspensor-specific GUS activity (Figure 7A). Upon further deletion of the G564 promoter (to the transcription start site), GUS activity did not reappear in the suspensor (data not shown).

Nucleotide sequence analysis of the region from −921 to −662 revealed an 80-bp conserved sequence that is repeated four times within the G564 promoter at positions −1327 to −1247, −1183 to −1105, −1030 to −950, and −885 to −805, as shown in Figure 7B. Preliminary gain-of-function analysis showed that a −1368 to −1208 G564 promoter fragment containing one of these 80-bp repeats is sufficient to drive suspensor-specific GUS expression from a minimal Cauliflower mosaic virus 3SS promoter (K. Wetermin, Y.-P. Bi, and R.B. Goldberg, unpublished data). Moreover, within these repeats, we identified a 10-bp sequence, 5’-GAAAAG3/-GAA3’ (Figure 7B), that also is present in the C541 promoter but is absent from (1) the Kti1 and Kti2 Kunitz trypsin inhibitor promoters (accession number S45035; Jofuku and Goldberg, 1989), (2) the Kti3 Kunitz trypsin inhibitor promoter (accession number S45092; Jofuku et al., 1989), and (3) the Le1 Lectin promoter (GenBank accession number K00821; Vodkin et al., 1983) that are active only in the embryo proper region of transgenic tobacco embryos (De Paiva, 1994; Goldberg et al., 1994; Yadegari, 1996). Together, these data suggest that there are one or more positive suspensor-specific cis-regulatory elements present in the G564 promoter between nucleotides −921 and −662.

DISCUSSION

We used the scarlet runner bean embryo as a model system to investigate gene expression programs during early embryogenesis. We identified two suspensor-specific mRNAs designated G564 and C541. In four-cell embryos, G564 and C541 mRNAs accumulate exclusively in the two basal cells but are not detectable in the two apical cells. A chimeric G564/GUS reporter gene is transcribed specifically in the basal cells of transgenic tobacco embryos at a similar stage (five-cell stage). We conclude from these results that as early as the four-cell embryo stage, the apical and basal cells transcribe different gene sets and are specified at the molecular level.
Scarlet Runner Bean Suspensor Provides a Unique Opportunity to Study the Earliest Events in Plant Embryogenesis

Scarlet runner bean has been used historically as a model system to study embryo development (Walbot et al., 1972b; Sussex et al., 1973; Nagl, 1974; Yeung and Clutter, 1978; Yeung and Sussex, 1979; Yeung and Meinke, 1993). The scarlet runner bean embryo is relatively large—five to six times larger than Arabidopsis embryos, for example (Figure 1; Mansfield and Briarty, 1991)—and it can be isolated easily at the globular stage (Figure 1O). In this article, we show that we can microdissect suspensors from scarlet runner bean globular-stage embryos (Figure 1O) and use genomics techniques to identify suspensor-specific gene transcripts (Figures 2 to 4). Recently, we also have been able to use mRNAs from scarlet runner bean embryo proper and suspensor regions (Figure 1O) to generate cDNA libraries and study the transcript profiles of different regions of the same global stage embryo by expressed sequence tag analysis (A.Q. Bui, K. Weterings, and R.B. Goldberg, unpublished data; http://www.mcmd.ucla.edu/Research/Goldberg/EST/). In addition, we have been able to identify Arabidopsis genes corresponding to G564, C541, and other scarlet runner bean genes that are active early in embryo development, and we are applying reverse genetics (Winkler...
A Mosaic of Gene Expression Programs Is Active during Early Seed Development

In flowering plants, fusion of the sperm cells with both the egg cell and the central cell initiates embryo and endosperm development, respectively (Figure 1, Table 1). In addition, fertilization causes the integument and the endothelium to differentiate and to contribute to the development of the seed (Figure 1, Table 1) (Johri, 1984; Raghavan, 1986; Miller et al., 1999). Simultaneously, a cascade of different gene expression programs is initiated that is correlated with the various events that occur during embryo and seed development (Goldberg et al., 1989, 1994). For example, in this article, we show that G563 mRNA begins to accumulate specifically at 3 DAP in the seed micropylar endothelium surrounding the developing embryo (Figure 3D and data not shown). The micropylar endothelium cell layer has been proposed to function as an embryo-nursing tissue by exchanging metabolites with the suspensor via extensive cell wall ingrowths that appear at 3 DAP (Figure 1L) (Yeung and Clutter, 1978, 1979; Natesh and Rau, 1984). Because of this tight contact between endothelium and suspensor, some residual endothelial cells probably were present in our hand-dissected suspensor preparations, explaining why we identified G563 as a micropylar endothelium–specific transcript (Figures 2 and 3D). The correlation between G563 mRNA and the appearance of cell wall ingrowths contiguous to the suspensor of the developing embryo suggest that G563 marks the specification of the micropylar endothelium as an embryo-nursing tissue. Although the function of the predicted G563 protein is unknown, its high glycine and proline contents (47.5 and 12.5%, respectively) suggest a structural role (Showalter, 1993), perhaps in the formation of the specialized cell wall ingrowths.

By contrast, G564 and C541 mRNAs accumulate specifically in the suspensor. G564 transcripts are distributed evenly over the whole suspensor (Figures 3B and 4D), whereas C541 transcripts accumulate to a higher concentration in the suspensor basal region than in the suspensor neck region (Figures 3C, 4H, and 4I and data not shown). Recently, other suspensor mRNAs have been identified. One, ZmOCL3, accumulates in maize suspensors and encodes a homeobox transcription factor (Ingram et al., 2000). The other encodes an Arabidopsis ASK protein kinase (Dornelas et al., 1999). On the basis of physiological and cytological studies, the main activities of the suspensor are importing, producing, and transporting nutrients and growth regulators to the developing embryo proper (Schnepf and Nagl, 1970; Brady, 1973; Sussex et al., 1973; Clutter et al., 1974; Alpi et al., 1979; Yeung and Clutter, 1979; Ceccharelli et al., 1981; Yeung and Meinke, 1993). The exact functions of G564 and C541 proteins in suspensor activities are unknown. However, the fact that C541 is predicted to be targeted to the vacuole might explain the higher concentration of C541 mRNA in the highly vacuolate suspensor basal region.

Together, the G563, G564, and C541 mRNA accumulation patterns demonstrate that different gene regulatory programs are required for both the differentiation and function of unique seed and embryo regions. How these programs are initiated and coordinated during seed development remains to be established.

Differentiation of Early Embryo Apical and Basal Regions Is Marked by the Accumulation of Different mRNAs

The suspensor is derived from the basal cell of the two-cell embryo. It is not known what mechanisms direct the basal cell to become specified and develop into a suspensor. Nor is it known when these mechanisms become active. To elucidate the gene circuits that regulate suspensor development and, thus, the regulatory networks that control apical-basal cell specification events, we investigated G564 and C541 mRNA localization patterns before and after fertilization (Figures 3B and 3C). We found that the G564 and C541 transcripts are not detectable within the ovule and that these mRNAs first appear in the two basal cells of the four-cell embryo before the suspensor is morphologically distinguishable (Figures 4B and 4F). No detectable G564 or C541 mRNAs are present in the apical cell, indicating that these mRNAs are localized asymmetrically within the embryo two divisions after fertilization. These results suggest that both the G564 and C541 genes are activated after fertilization and that the G564 and C541 mRNAs accumulate specifically in basal cells destined to become the suspensor. By contrast, transcripts of the Arabidopsis homeobox gene ATML1 (Lu et al., 1996) and the Arabidopsis PHABULOSA gene (McConnell et al., 2001) accumulate exclusively in the apical cell and the 16-cell embryo proper region, respectively. Together, these data show that at the four-cell embryo stage, the apical and basal regions have been specified from each other and that this specification is marked by the accumulation of different mRNAs. These results suggest that mechanisms specifying the embryo apical and basal regions are active immediately after fertilization.

Basal Region–Specific mRNA Accumulation Is Caused by Specific Transcriptional Programs

We began to unravel the basal region–specifying mechanisms by studying the regulation of G564 mRNA accumulation in
transgenic tobacco embryos carrying the scarlet runner bean G564 gene. We found that the G564 mRNA accumulation pattern in transgenic tobacco embryos is similar to that observed during scarlet runner bean embryo development (Figures 4B, 6B, and 6C). This finding shows that the 6.99-kb G564 genomic clone is a marker for the specification of the four-cell embryo basal region and that within this 6.99-kb genomic fragment are sequences required for the asymmetric accumulation of G564 mRNA during early embryogenesis. This observation also shows that despite differences between tobacco and scarlet runner bean embryos with respect to early embryo cell division patterns and suspensor size and shape (Figures 1E to 1H, 1L, 1M, and 6B to 6M) (Natesh and Rau, 1984; Kaplan and Cooke, 1997), the underlying basal cell- and suspensor-specific gene regulatory mechanisms are conserved between these distantly related plant species (Goldberg et al., 1994), suggesting that events leading to basal cell specification and suspensor differentiation are ancient and have been conserved in flowering plants.

We found that GUS enzyme activity is localized in basal region- and suspensor-specific patterns in transgenic tobacco embryos containing the chimeric G564/GUS gene. These patterns are similar to the G564 mRNA accumulation patterns in scarlet runner bean embryos and G564 transgenic tobacco embryos (Figures 4B, 6B, 6C, 6J, and 6K), indicating that the mechanism that regulates basal region- and suspensor-specific G564 mRNA accumulation operates primarily at the transcriptional level. This result implies that the differentiation of the basal and apical regions requires the activation of apical region- and basal region–specific transcription programs that are conserved between these distantly related plant species.

Our data indicate that a region between −921 and −662 within the G564 promoter contains sequences that interact with the basal region–specific transcription process (Figure 7). Recently, our scarlet runner bean expressed sequence tag project (http://www.mcdb.ucla.edu/Research/Goldberg/EST/) identified several transcription factor mRNAs present in the suspensor of globular-stage embryos. One mRNA encoding a homeobox protein has an accumulation pattern similar to that shown in Figures 3 and 4 for the G564 and C541 mRNAs (Y.-P. Bi, A.Q. Bui, and R.B. Goldberg, unpublished data). What role these transcription factors play in suspensor differentiation and/or activation of the G564 gene remains to be determined.

A Model for the Specification of the Apical and Basal Cells of the Two-Cell Embryo

How is the G564 transcriptional program activated specifically in the embryo basal region, and how does this provide clues about the general mechanisms that specify basal cell fate? A possible explanation might involve the apical–basal polarized cytoarchitecture of the egg cell and zygote (Figure 1E; Willemse and van Went, 1984). The asymmetric distribution of cytoplasm and/or its contents within the egg and/or zygote may play a role in activating specific apical and basal region transcription programs (Goldberg et al., 1994). Figure 8 illustrates a heuristic model for the specification of basal cells leading to suspensor differentiation. This model assumes that there is an asymmetric distribution of “morphogenetic factors” (e.g., transcription factors) within either the egg cell or the zygote, or both. In addition, it assumes that the basal cell (and suspensor) is specified autonomously as a

Figure 8. Mechanism of Basal Cell and Suspensor Specification.
Basal cell–specifying morphogenetic factors (yellow triangles) are distributed asymmetrically in the cytoplasm of the egg cell or zygote. Upon asymmetric division, these factors are inherited by the basal cell and trigger the transcription of basal region–specific genes such as G564 and, eventually, the specification of the suspensor.
consequence of inheriting the morphogenetic factors after zygotic division. These factors trigger a cascade of events leading to the transcription of basal region–specific genes, such as G564, and suspensor differentiation (Figure 8).

The model shown in Figure 8 is consistent with analogous autonomous specification processes that occur for specific cell types during embryogenesis in various animal systems (Davidson et al., 1998). In plants, this model predicts that the embryo basal region–specific transcription of the G564 gene (Figures 4B, 6B, and 6J) is programmed by one or more basal cell–specific transcription factors and that these transcription factors are derived initially from the basal region of the egg cell or zygote. It is possible that these regulatory factors are bound by the cytoskeleton to the basal pole of the egg and/or the zygote and that these factors automatically become part of the basal cell after zygote division. This would be similar to the mechanism responsible for targeting factors to unique intracellular cytoplasmic locations in animal embryos (Yisreali et al., 1990; Lall et al., 1999) and to the process by which the polarized axis is fixed in Fucus eggs (Kropf, 1997; Quatrano, 1997).

Alternatively, it is possible that a signaling mechanism similar to that which establishes dorsal/ventral polarity in Drosophila embryos (Davidson et al., 1998; Sen et al., 1998) is responsible for basal cell specification. In this case, a signal derived from the maternal seed tissues contiguous with the basal cell (e.g., endothelium) would interact with a basal cell ligand, which then would trigger a signal transduction cascade leading to transcription of basal region–specific genes such as G564 and, subsequently, to suspensor differentiation. One prediction of this model is that the factors that activate G564 transcription should be present in both the apical and basal cells of the embryo but remain inactive within the apical cell (Davidson et al., 1998).

The giant scarlet runner bean embryo (Figure 1) and the availability of basal cell–specific markers, such as G564 and C541, should allow us to test predictions of the model presented in Figure 8 and obtain new insights into how the apical and basal cells of a plant embryo are specified to follow different developmental fates.

METHODOLOGY

PLANT MATERIALS AND GROWTH CONDITIONS

Seeds of the day-neutral scarlet runner bean (Phaseolus coccineus) cultivar Hammond’s Dwarf Red Flower were purchased from the Vermont Bean Seed Company (Fair Haven, VT) (Nagl, 1990; Voss et al., 1992). Scarlet runner bean seeds were germinated in a soil mixture of vermiculite, perlite, sandy loam soil, sphagnum peat moss, and plaster sand at a ratio of 3:3:2:2:2. Plants were maintained in a 16-hr-light/8-hr-dark cycle in the greenhouse. Flowers were hand pollinated by lightly brushing the stigma with a watercolor brush containing pollen. Hand-pollinated flowers were tagged, and seeds were harvested at specific days after pollination (DAP).

STRIPE SPECIFIC mRNAs

Susceptor Isolation

The micropylar half of a 6- to 7-DAP seed (Figures 1L and 1O) was cut and placed upright on its cut side on the stage of a dissecting microscope. Approximately 1 mm was sliced from the left and right sides of the seed coat “flat face.” The seed was turned on its flat face, and the remaining seed coat and endosperm were removed from the exposed embryo proper. The entire embryo was isolated, and the suspensor was separated from the embryo proper by micродissection. We were able to dissect globular embryos (Figure 1O) at the rate of ~10 per hr and collect separately the embryo proper and suspensor regions.

RNA Isolation and Gel Blot Analysis

Polyosomal RNAs were isolated according to the procedure of Cox and Goldberg (1988). Poly(A) mRNA was isolated from total polyso-

mRNA was used as a template to generate first-strand cDNA using Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) reverse transcriptase and a 50-

base oligonucleotide linker primer (5′-[32P]dGACTAGTCTCAGT[15]-3′). Double-stranded cDNAs were blunt ended and ligated to an EcoRI adapter. After phosphorylation of EcoRI 5′ ends, the cDNAs were digested with XhoI and size fractionated on a Sephacyrl S-400 column to exclude cDNAs that were smaller than 250 bp. The fractionated cDNAs were ligated to the λZAP vector.

cDNA LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION

A cDNA library of 5- to 9-DAP scarlet runner bean seeds containing globular-stage embryos (Figures 1D and 1I) was constructed using the ZAP Express cDNA synthesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Poly(A) mRNA was used as a template to generate first-strand cDNA using Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) reverse transcriptase and a 50-

base oligonucleotide linker primer (5′-[32P]dGACTAGTCTCAGT[15]-3′). Double-stranded cDNAs were blunt ended and ligated to an EcoRI adapter. After phosphorylation of EcoRI 5′ ends, the cDNAs were digested with XhoI and size fractionated on a Sephacyrl S-400 column to exclude cDNAs that were smaller than 250 bp. The fractionated cDNAs were ligated to the λZAP vector.

METHODS

PLANT MATERIALS AND GROWTH CONDITIONS

Seeds of the day-neutral scarlet runner bean (Phaseolus coccineus) cultivar Hammond’s Dwarf Red Flower were purchased from the Vermont Bean Seed Company (Fair Haven, VT) (Nagl, 1990; Voss et al., 1992). Scarlet runner bean seeds were germinated in a soil mixture of vermiculite, perlite, sandy loam soil, sphagnum peat moss, and plaster sand at a ratio of 3:3:2:2:2. Plants were maintained in a 16-hr-light/8-hr-dark cycle in the greenhouse. Flowers were hand pollinated by lightly brushing the stigma with a watercolor brush containing pollen. Hand-pollinated flowers were tagged, and seeds were harvested at specific days after pollination (DAP).
Aliquots of the first-strand cDNAs were used as templates for the PCR using combinations of forward and anchor/reverse primers in the presence of 32P-dATP and AmpliTaq polymerase (Perkin-Elmer, Branchburg, NJ). The forward primers used were H-AP49 (5'-AAGC-TTTAGTCAGA-3'), H-AP50 (5'-AAGCTTGGAGACT-3'), H-AP51 (5'-AAGCTTCGAAATG-3'), H-AP52 (5'-AAGCTTGGACCTT-3'), H-AP53 (5'-AAGCTTCCTCTAT-3'), H-AP54 (5'-AAGCTTGGAGGT-3'), H-AP55 (5'-AAGCTTCGTTAG-3'), and H-AP56 (5'-AAGCTTTAGAAGG-3'), where H-AP refers to the primers supplied by the RNAimage kit. The RT-PCR products were size fractionated on a 6% acrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography.

We identified candidate suspensor-specific cDNAs as bands that were (1) >200 bp in size, (2) present at the same position in lanes containing cDNAs amplified from 6- to 8-DAP suspensor and micropylar region mRNAs, and (3) absent in lanes containing cDNAs amplified from chalazal region, embryo proper, and leaf mRNAs. Isolated cDNA fragments were amplified by PCR, cloned into the pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA), and sequenced. cDNAs were designated with (1) a C or G, indicating the anchor/reverse primer used, (2) a two-digit number between 49 and 56, indicating the forward primer used, and (3) a one-digit number indicating the band position on the DD-RT-PCR gel. For example, C541 represents a cDNA band that was amplified by a C anchor/reverse primer and an H-AP54 forward primer and that was in position 1 on the DD-RT-PCR gel.

**Gel Blot Analysis of PCR-Amplified Population cDNAs**

For prescreening of differential display cDNA clones, PCR-amplified cDNAs from different mRNA populations were generated according to the procedures of Kelly et al. (1990), with minor modifications. Suspensor (8 DAP), ovule, 2-DAP seed, 3-DAP seed, 6-DAP micropylar region, 6-DAP chalazal region, and leaf total RNAs were isolated. First-strand cDNA was generated from 5 μg of each RNA using MMLV reverse transcriptase and 50 ng/μl oligo(dT) as primer. The first-strand cDNAs were 3' tailed with poly(dA) using terminal transferase. PCR amplifications were performed using the tailed first-strand cDNAs as templates and 2 μM dT60 dN (where dN = dG, dC, dA, or dT) as primer in 100 μL containing 20 mM Tris, pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, and 0.2 μM dideoxynucleotide triphosphates at 94°C for 1 min, 42°C for 2 min, and 72°C for 5 min for 30 cycles, followed by a 10-min extension at 72°C. A 1-μL aliquot from each reaction was used to perform another round of amplification using the same conditions. The reactions were extracted with phenol/chloroform and precipitated in ethanol. An aliquot equivalent to 1 μg from each reaction was size fractionated on a 1% agarose gel, which then was used for DNA gel blot analysis according to the procedures of Sambrook et al. (1989).

**DNA Sequencing and Analysis**

DNA sequencing was performed according to the dideoxy sequencing procedures recommended by U.S. Biological Corp. (Cleveland, OH). For genomic clones pG564G7.2.79 and pC541G2.1.5, unidirectional nested deletion sets were prepared using the Erase-A-Base system (Promega). Compilation and analysis of sequences were performed using GCG (Genetics Computer Group, Madison, WI) and Gene Inspector (Textco, Inc., West Lebanon, NH) software. Open reading frames and exon-intron junctions were identified by GENSCAN (Burge and Karlin, 1997; http://ccr-081.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html). The G564 intron-exon junctions were confirmed by comparing the cDNA and gene sequences. Protein-sorting sequences were identified using PSORT (Nakai and Kanehisa, 1992; http://psort. nibb.ac.jp). DNA and protein sequence comparisons were performed using the National Center for Biotechnology Information GenBank BLAST programs (Altschul et al., 1997; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The complete C541 and G564 cDNA sequences were based on sequences from (1) DD-RT-PCR cDNA clones, (2) cDNA clones isolated from a 5'- to 9-DAP seed cDNA library, and (3) cDNAs generated from 5' random amplification of cDNA ends (Chenchik et al., 1995).

**In Situ Hybridization**

In situ hybridization studies were performed as described by Cox and Goldberg (1988) and Yadegari et al. (1994) with minor modifications. Briefly, for scarlet runner bean, unfertilized ovules and individual seed (4 to 7 DAP) were harvested from pods, and seed were cut at their chalazal ends before fixing to enhance penetration of the fixative. For tobacco, seed up to 7 DAP were collected while still attached to the placenta. Older tobacco seed were separated from the placenta before collection. Tissues were fixed overnight at 4°C in 1% glutaraldehyde solution prepared in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. (Meyerowitz, 1987), dehydrated, cleared, and embedded in paraffin. Eight- to 10-μm sections were hybridized to 32P-labeled sense or antisense RNA probes at a specific activity of 4 to 5 × 106 dpm/μg. After hybridization and emulsion development, sections were stained with 0.05% toluidine blue in 0.05% borate solution. Photographs were taken using either bright-field or dark-field illumination with a compound microscope (Olympus BH2; Olympus Corp., Lake Success, NY). The photographs were digitized, adjusted for optimum silver grain resolution using the KPT-Equalizer program (Metacreations Corp., Carpinteria, CA), and assembled in Adobe Photoshop 5.0 (San Jose, CA).

**Light Microscopy**

**Bright-Field Microscopy**

Seeds and unfertilized ovules from scarlet runner bean were collected as described for in situ hybridization and fixed overnight in 5% glutaraldehyde, 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, and 0.01% Triton X-100 at 4°C. After dehydration, samples were embedded in Spurr’s plastic resin (Spurr, 1969) (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). One-micro- meter-thick sections were stained for 18 to 20 min at 42°C with 0.05% toluidine blue in 0.05% borate solution. Bright-field photographs were taken with Kodak Gold 100 film (ISO 100/21) using a compound microscope (Olympus BH-2).

**Whole-Mount Microscopy**

Dark-field photographs of seeds were taken using a dissecting microscope (Olympus SZH). Dark-field and bright-field photographs of dissected embryos were taken using a compound microscope (Olympus BH-2).

**Construction of the G564/GUS Marker Gene, Promoter Deletions, and Tobacco Plant Transformation**

A 21-kb G564 genomic clone was isolated from a scarlet runner bean iDASHII (Stratagene) genomic library by screening with a 32P-labeled...
G564 cDNA clone. A 7-kb genomic fragment was recloned in pBluescript KS+ (Stratagene), generating plasmid pG564g7.2.79. A total of 4.8 kb of this plasmid was sequenced to confirm that the sequence of the coding region corresponded to that of the G564 cDNA clone. The entire G564g7.2.79 genomic clone was transferred into pGV1501AN, a pGV1500-derived plant transformation vector (Deblaere et al., 1987).

The region in G564g7.2.79 surrounding the ATG start codon at position +57 relative to the transcription start site, as determined from the G564 cDNA sequence, was converted to an SphI endonuclease restriction site by PCR using a T3 primer and a mutagenic oligonucleotide (5'-ATTGACTGGAACGCTAGCTAGTCTGAGCAGG-3'). A 4.2-kb G564 promoter region was cloned in the SphI site upstream of the Escherichia coli GUS gene coding region (Jefferson et al., 1987) in pGEM5GUS, generating pG564GUS. After cloning, the G564 promoter region was resequenced, pGEM5GUS was constructed by inserting the GUS coding region and the Ti plasmid gene 7' end from the TP12/GUS gene (Drews et al., 1992) into the Ncol-Notl sites of pGEM5 (Promega). The G564/GUS gene was transferred to the pHYGA (hygromycin-resistant) plant transformation vector (Klucher et al., 1996).

For G564 promoter 5' deletion analysis, a 6.9-kb AatII (blunted)-Notl pG564/GUS fragment containing the G564 promoter, the GUS coding region, and the Ti plasmid gene 7' end was transferred to Ascl (blunted)-Notl-digested pGV1501AN, and a unidirectional G564 promoter nested 5' deletion set was prepared in the Erase-a-Base system (Promega). The 5' deletions were confirmed by sequence analysis to be at positions −4018, −3879, −3860, −3605, −3009, −2427, −2267, −1907, −1838, −1736, −1485, −1364, −1007, −921, −662, −625, −546, −503, −488, −404, −326, −177, −153, −117, and −22. Tobacco plants were transformed and regenerated using the leaf disc procedure of Horsch et al. (1985). Each individual transformant was checked for T-DNA insertion by PCR analysis (Edwards et al., 1991), and the number of independent T-DNA insertions was determined by kanamycin-resistant segregation in T1 seeds after selfing.

**GUS Histochemical Assay**

Transgenic tobacco seeds were harvested at different stages of development (Barker et al., 1988). Embryos were dissected from seed in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. Dissected embryos were incubated in GUS assay buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM ferricyanide, 0.5 mM ferrocyanide, and 2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronic acid) for 30 min to 16 hr at room temperature (Jefferson et al., 1987). Embryos were photographed under bright-field or dark-field illumination using a compound BH2 Olympus microscope.

**Accession Numbers**

The GenBank accession numbers for C541, G563, G564, G564g7.2.79, and C541g2.1.5 are AF293403, AF293405, AF293404, AF325187, and AF325188, respectively.
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