Recombination of the Polycomb repressive complexes PRC1 and PRC2 by Xist RNA is an important paradigm for chromatin regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Here, we show that the noncanonical Polycomb group RING finger (PCGF) protein. PCGF3/5 mediates ubiquitylation of histone H2A signals recruitment of other noncanonical PRC1 complexes and of PRC2, the latter leading to deposition of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation chromosome-wide. Pcgf3/5 gene knockout results in female-specific embryo lethality and abrogates Xist-mediated gene repression, highlighting a key role for Polycomb in X-inactive chromosome silencing. Our findings overturn existing models for Polycomb recruitment by Xist RNA and establish precedence for H2AK19u1 in initiating Polycomb domain formation in a physiological context.

Polycomb proteins play an important role in developmental gene regulation, functioning primarily by establishing stable repressive chromatin states. Accordingly, the two major Polycomb repressor complexes, PRC1 and PRC2, catalyze posttranslational modifications of core histone proteins, mono-ubiquitylation of histone H2A lysine 119 (H2AK119u1) and methylation of histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3), respectively [reviewed in (7)]. In mammalian cells, the noncoding RNA Xist recruits PRC1 and PRC2 to the inactive X chromosome (Xi) (2–4). Previous studies have proposed that Polycomb recruitment is initiated by direct binding of PRC2 to Xist RNA (5), although some evidence is difficult to reconcile with this model (6, 7).

Noncanonical PRC1 complexes are recruited to Xi via an alternative pathway (8–10), and recent studies have demonstrated that PRC2 complexes can bind to PRC1-mediated H2AK19u1 (11–14), indicating that PRC1 could have a role in initiating Polycomb recruitment to the Xi. To test this possibility, we developed a mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) model in which Xist RNA could be induced in the absence of RING1A/B, the core catalytic subunit of PRC1 (supplementary text S1 and fig. S1, A and B). As shown in Fig. 1, A to C, deletion of RING1A/B largely abolished Xist-dependent PRC2 (EZH2) recruitment and H3K27me3 deposition. Formation of Xist RNA domains was unaltered (Fig. 1, C and D).

We confirmed these findings by depleting H2AK19u1 using MG132, a proteasome inhibitor (15), in 3E mESCs that carry an inducible Xist transgene (16). H2AK19u1 was extensively depleted 6 hours after MG132 treatment (fig. S1, C and D), as reported previously (9). Xist-dependent recruitment of PRC2 (EED and H3K27me3 domains) was abolished (fig. S1, E and F). Together, these findings indicate that H2AK19u1 deposition is required for Xist-dependent recruitment of PRC2.

It has been proposed that the A-repeat region of Xist RNA—and/or a 4-kb region immediately downstream in Xist exon 1, designated XN and encompassing the F, B, and C repeats—mediates PRC2 recruitment in X inactivation (5, 7). In light of our findings, we analyzed the role of these regions in Xist-dependent H2AK19u1 deposition. Thus, we established cell lines with inducible Xist transgeneries carrying deletions of either the A-repeat or the XN region (fig. S2A). Immunofluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis of Xist RNA together with either H2AK19u1 or H3K27me3 revealed that deletion of the A-repeat had no effect, whereas deletion of the XN region entirely abolished Xist-dependent deposition of H2AK19u1 and H3K27me3 (fig. S2, B to E). These findings implicate the XN region in recruitment of PRC1 complex(es) required to initiate H2AK19u1 deposition on Xi.

In vertebrates, the catalytic core of PRC1 comprises RING1A/B and one of six homologs of the Polycomb group RING finger (PCGF) protein. Specific characteristics of PCGF1-6 define distinct subunit assemblies, subdivided into canonical and noncanonical PRC1 complexes (fig. S3A).

Fig. 1. H2AK19u1 mediates PRC2 recruitment by Xist RNA. (A) Schematic of the experiment. Tamoxifen, +OHT: doxycycline, +dox. (B) Examples of H3K27me3 and EZH2 immunofluorescence after 24 hours Xist induction. (C) Percentage of cells with domains for Xist, H3K27me3, and EZH2 in tamoxifen-treated and untreated cells after Xist induction for 24 hours. A minimum of 800 cells were counted in four biological repeats. Error bars indicate SD. (D) Xist RNA FISH illustrating deletion of Ring1A/B has no effect on Xist RNA domain formation.
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Localization of eGFP-PCGF fusion proteins to Xist RNA domains (Fig. 2A and movie S1). Discrete subnuclear region, referred to henceforth as the RING1B subunit (fig. S3D). Live cell confocal imaging revealed Xist-dependent concentration of each eGFP-PCGF fusion protein within a single discrete subnuclear region, referred to henceforth as Xist RNA domains (Fig. 2A and movie S1). Localization of eGFP-PCGF fusion proteins to Xist RNA domains was also observed by using immunofluorescence (IF), albeit to varying degrees (fig. S3, E and F). The variable efficiency of detection with IF likely reflects the dynamic behavior of different PRC1 complexes (supplementary text S2).

The localization of eGFP-PCGF fusion proteins over Xist RNA domains enabled us to compare the dynamic behavior of different PRC1 complexes using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Thus, for each eGFP-PCGF fusion protein we took FRAP measurements both within Xist RNA domains and also in a randomly selected nucleoplasmic region. For eGFP-PCGF1, eGFP-PCGF2, and eGFP-PCGF6, the average recovery within Xist RNA domains was in the order of a few seconds. In contrast, for eGFP-PCGF3 and eGFP-PCGF5, a significant immobile fraction, within Xist RNA domains was in the order of a minute, and eGFP-PCGF3/5, which interact transiently with the Xist RNA domain, exhibit FRAP kinetics thus reveal a qualitative difference between complexes formed by PCGF1/2/6, which interact transiently with the Xist RNA domain, and eGFP-PCGF3/5–PRC1, which additionally form stable interactions. The noncanonical PRC1 subunit RYBP, and/or its homolog YAF2, can bind to H2AK119u1, mediated by a NZF domain (9, 17, 19, 20). To test whether this interaction could contribute to localization of PRC1 complexes within Xist RNA domains, we generated Rybp−/− Yaf2−/− mESCs (supplementary text S4 and fig. S5, A and B). Recruitment of PRC1 (and PRC2) by Xist RNA was retained, as indicated by accumulation of H2AK119u1 and H3K27me3 (fig. S5, C and E). However, quantification of domain size and time-course analysis revealed that deposition of H2AK119u1 and H3K27me3 is impaired relative to wild-type mESCs (supplementary text S5 and fig. S5, D and E). The time-course analysis (fig. S5E) further illustrates that H2AK119u1 deposition within Xist RNA domains precedes H3K27me3, supporting that PRC1 initiates PRC2 recruitment.

Although PRC1 activity over Xist RNA domains is evident in Rybp−/− Yaf2−/− mESCs, we were unable to detect enrichment of eGFP-PCGF fusion proteins using either live cell imaging or conventional IF (fig. S6A and movies S2 to S6), likely reflecting their reduced levels relative to background. Consistent with this idea, we were able to detect localization of eGFP-PCGF3 and eGFP-PCGF5 using a modified IF protocol (supplementary text S6 and fig. S6B).

We went on to test whether reduced Xist RNA domain enrichment of eGFP-PCGF proteins in Rybp−/− Yaf2−/− mESCs is linked to the ability of RYBP to bind H2AK119u1. Thus, we complemented Rybp−/− Yaf2−/− mESCs using constructs that express mCherry-tagged wild-type RYBP or a mutant protein, RYBP TF-AA, which has three point mutations in the NZF domain that abrogates the interaction with H2AK119u1 (20). As illustrated in Fig. 3, wild-type, but not TF-AA, RYBP restored Xist RNA domain enrichment of eGFP-PCGF fusion proteins. We conclude that RYBP/YAF2 binding to H2AK119u1 likely underpins the transient interaction of noncanonical PRC1 complexes with Xist RNA domains but does not account for stable interaction of PCGF3/5–PRC1 complexes.

We next tested the role of PCGF3/5–PRC1 complexes in initiating Polycomb recruitment by Xist RNA. Thus, we engineered a series of ESC lines in which we could investigate the importance of PRC3 and PRC5 either alone or together (fig. S7, A, B, D, and E). A conditional knockout mESC line for Pcgf3 was used as a negative control (supplementary text S7 and fig. S7, A to C). We then tested whether H2A*K119u1 and H3*K27me3 deposition occur over Xist RNA domains 24 hours after induction of the Xist transgene (Fig. 4A).
Deletion of either Pcgf3 or Pcgf5 alone had no effect on Xist-dependent H2AK119u1 and H3K27me3 deposition (fig. S8, A to D). Deletion of Pcgf3 alone resulted in a small but significant decrease of both H2AK119u1- and H3K27me3-positive domains (fig. S8, C and D). Strikingly, deletion of both Pcgf3 and Pcgf5 together strongly reduced Xist-dependent H2AK119u1 and H3K27me3 deposition (Fig. 4, B and C, and fig. S8, C, D, and E). Moreover, in Pcgf3−/−Pcgf5−/− mESCs that were cultured with tamoxifen for 96 hours and then expanded before inducing Xist expression, H2AK119u1 and/or H3K27me3 domains were entirely abolished (Fig. 4C). Global levels of H2AK119u1, H3K27me3, and PRC1 subunits were unaffected (fig. S8F), indicating that the observed deficiency is specific to Polycomb recruitment by Xist RNA.

Our results suggest that PCGF3/5–PRC1-mediated H2AK119u1 initiates Xist-dependent recruitment both of other noncanonical PRC1 complexes and of PRC2. In support of this model, Xist-dependent recruitment of eGFP-PCGF3 or eGFP-PCGF5 occurs in the absence of PRC2 and H3K27me3 (supplementary text S8 and fig. S9, A and B). Moreover, analysis by three-dimensional structured illumination (3D-SIM) super-resolution microscopy revealed that PCGF3/5–PRC1 is significantly closer to Xist RNA than are other noncanonical PRC1 complexes (supplementary text S10 and fig. S10, A and B).

To verify our results in the context of X inactivation in vivo, we went on to establish Pcgf3 and Pcgf5 conditional knockout mouse lines (figs. S7D and S11A). Analysis of embryonic day 7.5 (E7.5) XX Pcgf3−/−Pcgf5−/− embryos revealed complete absence of H2AK119u1 and H3K27me3 on Xi in embryonic and extraembryonic regions (Fig. 4D, fig. S11, B to F, and supplementary text SII). We further analyzed progeny from Pcgf3+/−: Pcgf5+/− heterozygote crosses. Pcgf3−/−Pcgf5−/− were not recovered among live-born progeny. At mid-gestation stages (E9.5 and E10.5), we recovered male Pcgf3+/−Pcgf5−/− concepts in

**Fig. 3.** RYBP/YAF2 interaction with H2AK119u1 contributes to Xist-dependent enrichment of noncanonical PRC1 complexes. Images illustrating distribution of eGFP-PCGF proteins in RYbp−/−Yaf2−/− mESCs lines complemented with wild-type mCherry-RYBP, or mCherry-RYBP TF-AA mutant after Xist induction (+dox) for 24 hours. Images are maximum-intensity projections of six consecutive z-stacks. Scale bar, 10 μm.

**Fig. 4.** PCGF3/5–PRC1 initiates Polycomb recruitment to facilitate chromosome silencing by Xist RNA. (A) Schematic representation of PCGF knockout experiments. Tamoxifen, +OHT; doxycycline, +dox. (B) Images illustrating accumulation of H2AK119u1 over Xist RNA domains in the presence and absence of PCGF proteins. (C) Scoring data representing a minimum of 300 cells in three replicates is summarized in the bar chart. Error bars show SD. Significant differences (Student’s t test) are indicated (**p < 0.001). (D) Immunofluorescence analysis of H2AK119u1 and H3K27me3 domains in Pcgf3−/−Pcgf5−/− and Pcgf3+/−Pcgf5+/− female embryos at E7.5. Images show representative single focal plane scans through epiblast. Scale bar, 20 μm. (E) Genes differentially expressed between Xist-induced (+dox) and uninduced (control) in Pcgf3+/−Pcgf5−/− (left) and Pcgf3−/−Pcgf5−/− (right) mESCs on Xist transgene bearing chromosome 16. Genes with false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 are depicted in violet; FDR > 0.05 and <0.1 are in green. (F) Heatmap showing expression change between Xist-induced (+dox) and uninduced (control) mESCs for all genes significantly misregulated on chromosome 16 in Pcgf3−/−Pcgf5−/− (FDR < 0.1); together with expression changes for the same genes in Pcgf3+/−Pcgf5+/− mESCs.
which the embryos and placentas appeared grossly normal. However, in female Pegf3−/ Pegf5−/ embryos, the embryo was extensively degraded (supplementary text S12 and fig. S12A). Histological analysis revealed some defects in placentas from male embryos, but more strikingly, female placentas lack trophoblasts altogether and as a consequence fail to form a labyrinth (supplementary text S12 and fig. S12B). Other genotypes, including Pegf3 and Pegf5 single homozygous embryos, were found at approximately the expected Mendelian ratio (fig. S12C).

Female-specific phenotypes in Pegf3−/ Pegf5−/ embryos suggest that Xist-mediated silencing may be compromised. To test this directly, we performed RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis, comparing silencing in the presence or absence of Xist-dependent Polycomb recruitment in mESCs (Pegf3−/ Pegf5−/ compared with Pegf3−/ Pegf5+/−) (supplementary text S13). The results demonstrate strong abrogation of Xist-mediated gene silencing, affecting genes across the entire chromosome (Fig. 4, E and F, and fig. S13, A to C). We conclude that loss of both PRC1 and PRC2 substantially compromises Xist-mediated silencing.

This study redresses the molecular pathway for Polycomb recruitment by Xist RNA, as summarized in fig. S14. Our findings further provide proof of principle that noncanonical PRC1 and associated H2A.K119ui1 can function to initiate the formation of Polycomb domains in a physiological context. In future studies, it will be important to understand how PCGF3/5–PRC1 interacts with the Xist XN region and to determine whether the same pathway is used by other long noncoding RNAs.
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**Polycomb steps to inactivate X**

XX females silence one of their X chromosomes. This involves a process whereby a noncoding RNA known as Xist coats one of the X chromosomes and recruits chromatin silencing factors. The Polycomb complexes PRC1 and PRC2 are also known to be involved in X chromosome inactivation. Almeida *et al.* elucidate a key role of a specific complex, PCGF3/5–PRC1, in initiating Polycomb recruitment by Xist RNA. They further demonstrate that Polycomb recruitment is critical for Xist-mediated chromosome silencing and female embryogenesis.
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